# **Environmental Protection Agency**

or operator may implement the applicable daily, weekly, quarterly, and annual quality assurance (QA) requirements for Hg CEMS in appendix B to part 75 of this chapter, in lieu of the QA procedures in appendices B and F to this part. Annual RATA of sorbent trap monitoring systems shall be performed in accordance with appendices A and B to part 75 of this chapter, and all other quality assurance requirements specified in appendix K to part 75 of this chapter shall be met for sorbent trap monitoring systems.

### §60.49a Reporting requirements.

- (a) For sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter emissions, the performance test data from the initial performance test and from the performance evaluation of the continuous monitors (including the transmissometer) are submitted to the Administrator.
- (b) For sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides the following information is reported to the Administrator for each 24-hour period.
  - (1) Calendar date.
- (2) The average sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emission rates (ng/J or lb/million Btu) for each 30 successive boiler operating days, ending with the last 30-day period in the quarter; reasons for non-compliance with the emission standards; and, description of corrective actions taken.
- (3) Percent reduction of the potential combustion concentration of sulfur dioxide for each 30 successive boiler operating days, ending with the last 30-day period in the quarter; reasons for noncompliance with the standard; and, description of corrective actions taken.
- (4) Identification of the boiler operating days for which pollutant or dilutent data have not been obtained by an approved method for at least 18 hours of operation of the facility; justification for not obtaining sufficient data; and description of corrective actions taken.
- (5) Identification of the times when emissions data have been excluded from the calculation of average emission rates because of startup, shutdown, malfunction ( $NO_X$  only), emergency conditions ( $SO_2$  only), or other reasons, and justification for excluding data for reasons other than startup, shutdown, malfunction, or emergency conditions.

- (6) Identification of "F" factor used for calculations, method of determination, and type of fuel combusted.
- (7) Identification of times when hourly averages have been obtained based on manual sampling methods.
- (8) Identification of the times when the pollutant concentration exceeded full span of the continuous monitoring system.
- (9) Description of any modifications to the continuous monitoring system which could affect the ability of the continuous monitoring system to comply with Performance Specifications 2 or 3.
- (c) If the minimum quantity of emission data as required by §60.47a is not obtained for any 30 successive boiler operating days, the following information obtained under the requirements of §60.46a(h) is reported to the Administrator for that 30-day period:
- (1) The number of hourly averages available for outlet emission rates  $(n_o)$  and inlet emission rates  $(n_i)$  as applicable.
- (2) The standard deviation of hourly averages for outlet emission rates  $(s_{\rm o})$  and inlet emission rates  $(s_{\rm i})$  as applicable.
- (3) The lower confidence limit for the mean outlet emission rate  $(E_o^*)$  and the upper confidence limit for the mean inlet emission rate  $(E_i^*)$  as applicable.
- (4) The applicable potential combustion concentration.
- (5) The ratio of the upper confidence limit for the mean outlet emission rate  $(E_o^*)$  and the allowable emission rate  $(E_{std})$  as applicable.
- (d) If any standards under §60.43a are exceeded during emergency conditions because of control system malfunction, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall submit a signed statement:
- (1) Indicating if emergency conditions existed and requirements under  $\S 60.46a(d)$  were met during each period, and
- (2) Listing the following information:
- (i) Time periods the emergency condition existed:
- (ii) Electrical output and demand on the owner or operator's electric utility system and the affected facility;
- (iii) Amount of power purchased from interconnected neighboring utility

#### § 60.49a, Note

companies during the emergency period:

- (iv) Percent reduction in emissions achieved;
- (v) Atmospheric emission rate (ng/J) of the pollutant discharged; and
- (vi) Actions taken to correct control system malfunction.
- (e) If fuel pretreatment credit toward the sulfur dioxide emission standard under §60.43a is claimed, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall submit a signed statement:
- (1) Indicating what percentage cleaning credit was taken for the calendar quarter, and whether the credit was determined in accordance with the provisions of §60.48a and Method 19 (appendix A); and
- (2) Listing the quantity, heat content, and date each pretreated fuel shipment was received during the previous quarter; the name and location of the fuel pretreatment facility; and the total quantity and total heat content of all fuels received at the affected facility during the previous quarter.
- (f) For any periods for which opacity, sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides emissions data are not available, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall submit a signed statement indicating if any changes were made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability. Operations of the control system and affected facility during periods of data unavailability are to be compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability.
- (g) The owner or operator of the affected facility shall submit a signed statement indicating whether:
- (1) The required continuous monitoring system calibration, span, and drift checks or other periodic audits have or have not been performed as specified.
- (2) The data used to show compliance was or was not obtained in accordance with approved methods and procedures of this part and is representative of plant performance.
- (3) The minimum data requirements have or have not been met; or, the minimum data requirements have not been met for errors that were unavoidable.

- (4) Compliance with the standards has or has not been achieved during the reporting period.
- (h) For the purposes of the reports required under §60.7, periods of excess emissions are defined as all 6-minute periods during which the average opacity exceeds the applicable opacity standards under §60.42a(b). Opacity levels in excess of the applicable opacity standard and the date of such excesses are to be submitted to the Administrator each calendar quarter.
- (i) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall submit the written reports required under this section and subpart A to the Administrator semi-annually for each six-month period. All semiannual reports shall be postmarked by the 30th day following the end of each six-month period.
- (j) The owner or operator of an affected facility may submit electronic quarterly reports for SO<sub>2</sub> and/or NO<sub>X</sub> and/or opacity in lieu of submitting the written reports required under paragraphs (b) and (h) of this section. The format of each quarterly electronic report shall be coordinated with the permitting authority. The electronic report(s) shall be submitted no later than 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter and shall be accompanied by a certification statement from the owner or operator, indicating whether compliance with the applicable emission standards and minimum data requirements of this subpart was achieved during the reporting period. Before submitting reports in the electronic format, the owner or operator shall coordinate with the permitting authority to obtain their agreement to submit reports in this alternative format.

[44 FR 33613, June 11, 1979, as amended at 63 FR 49454, Sept. 16, 1998; 64 FR 7464, Feb. 12, 1999]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 70 FR 28653, May 18, 2005, §60.49a was redesignated as §60.51a, and new §60.49a was redesignated from §60.47a. At 70 FR 28656, May 18, 2005, newly redesignated §60.51a was amended by revising paragraph (a); in paragraph (c) introductory text by revising the existing references from "§60.47a" and "§60.48a(h)," to "§60.49a" and "§60.48a(h)," respectively; in paragraph (d)(1) by revising the existing reference from "§60.46a(d)" to "§60.48a(d)"; in paragraph (e)(1) by revising the existing reference from

# **Environmental Protection Agency**

"\$60.48a" to "\$60.50a."; redesignating paragraphs (g),(h), (i), and (j) as paragraphs (h), (i), (j), and (k), respectively, and adding a new paragraph (g); and revising the first sentence of newly redesignated paragraph (k), effective July 18, 2005. For the convenience of the user, the revised text is set forth as follows:

#### §60.51a Reporting requirements.

(a) For sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, and Hg emissions, the performance test data from the initial and subsequent performance test and from the performance evaluation of the continuous monitors (including the transmissometer) are submitted to the Administrator.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (g) For Hg, the following information shall be reported to the Administrator:
  - (1) Company name and address;
- (2) Date of report and beginning and ending dates of the reporting period;
- (3) The applicable Hg emission limit (lb/MWh); and
  - (4) For each month in the reporting period:
  - (i) The number of unit operating hours;
- (ii) The number of unit operating hours with valid data for Hg concentration, stack gas flow rate, moisture (if required), and electrical output;
- (iii) The monthly Hg emission rate (lb/MWh):
- (iv) The number of hours of valid data excluded from the calculation of the monthly Hg emission rate, due to unit startup, shutdown and malfunction; and
- (v) The 12-month rolling average Hg emission rate (lb/MWh); and
- (5) The data assessment report (DAR) required by appendix F to this part, or an equivalent summary of QA test results if the QA of part 75 of this chapter are implemented.

\* \* \* \* \*

(k) The owner or operator of an affected facility may submit electronic quarterly reports for  $SO_2$  and/or  $NO_X$  and/or opacity and/or Hg in lieu of submitting the written reports required under paragraphs (b), (g), and (i) of this section. \* \*

# § 60.50a Compliance determination procedures and methods.

(a) In conducting the performance tests required in §60.8, the owner or operator shall use as reference methods and procedures the methods in appendix A of this part or the methods and procedures as specified in this section, except as provided in §60.8(b). Section

60.8(f) does not apply to this section for  $SO_2$  and  $NO_X$ . Acceptable alternative methods are given in paragraph (e) of this section.

- (b) The owner or operator shall determine compliance with the particulate matter standards in §60.42a as follows:
- (1) The dry basis F factor  $(O_2)$  procedures in Method 19 shall be used to compute the emission rate of particulate matter.
- (2) For the particular matter concentration, Method 5 shall be used at affected facilities without wet FGD systems and Method 5B shall be used after wet FGD systems.
- (i) The sampling time and sample volume for each run shall be at least 120 minutes and 1.70 dscm (60 dscf). The probe and filter holder heating system in the sampling train may be set to provide an average gas temperature of no greater than  $160\pm14~^{\circ}\text{C}$  (320 $\pm25~^{\circ}\text{F}$ ).
- (ii) For each particulate run, the emission rate correction factor, integrated or grab sampling and analysis procedures of Method 3B shall be used to determine the O<sub>2</sub> concentration. The O2 sample shall be obtained simultaneously with, and at the same traverse points as, the particulate run. If the particulate run has more than 12 traverse points, the O<sub>2</sub> traverse points may be reduced to 12 provided that Method 1 is used to locate the 12 O<sub>2</sub> traverse points. If the grab sampling procedure is used, the O2 concentration for the run shall be the arithmetic mean of the sample  $O_2$  concentrations at all traverse points.
- (3) Method 9 and the procedures in §60.11 shall be used to determine opacity.
- (c) The owner or operator shall determine compliance with the  $SO_2$  standards in §60.43a as follows:
- (1) The percent of potential  $SO_2$  emissions ( $%P_s$ ) to the atmosphere shall be computed using the following equation:

 $P_s = [(100 - N_f) (100 - N_g)]/100$ 

where:

 $\ensuremath{\text{WP}}\xspace_s\text{=}\text{percent}$  of potential  $SO_2$  emissions, percent.

 ${}^{\prime\prime}\!R_f$ =percent reduction from fuel pretreatment, percent.

 ${}^{\circ}\!\!\!\!/ R_g$ =percent reduction by SO<sub>2</sub> control system, percent.